Image Registration

Prof. Dr. Lucas Ferrari de Oliveira UFPR Informatics Department

Visualize objects inside the human body

Advances in CS methods to diagnosis, treatment planning and medical research

To diagnosis the integration of data obtained from different sources is desired.

Vital Problem in medical Imaging

Many potentials Applications in clinical diagnosis: Cardiac

- Retinal
- Abdomen

Process of aligning two images

Algorithms compute the transformation matrix

The target and the source images are different because:

- Different Times
- Different source like MRI, CT, PET, SPECT (multi modal)
- Different angles in order to have a 2D or 3D perspective (multi temporal)

Classified according the Spatial Transformation:
 "rigid" (rotation and translation)
 "non-rigid" (stretching)

Dimensionality Transformations

- 2D-to-2D
- 3D-to-3D
- 2D-to-3D

Time (heart cycle and breathing)



Image Registration Algorithms
 Landmark-Based Registration
 Surface-Based Registration
 Voxel Similarity Measures
 2D-3D algorithms
 Non-rigid algorithms

Optimization

Registration Framework Components (ITK)



SPIE 2006: Medical Image Analysis with ITK and Related Open Source Software. Feb, 11, 2006

http://pt.slideshare.net/kitware/itk-tutorial-presentation-slides947

Interpolation

Lower cost
 Nearest Neighbor, Linear, Bi-Linear and Cubic
 High cost
 BSpline, Sync



Multi Resolution

- Fast to big changes (small images)
- Accurate in high resolution (complete image)



http://prism.asu.edu/publications/papers/paper04_iruhbs.pdf

• Notation • Refer $A(\mathbf{x}_{A})$ as the intensity value in position \mathbf{x}_{A}

Same to image B

 Images are Mappings of points in the patient within their field of view (or domain Ω) to intensity values

$$A: \mathbf{x}_A \in \Omega_A \mapsto A(\mathbf{x}_A) \\ B: \mathbf{x}_B \in \Omega_B \mapsto B(\mathbf{x}_B)$$

Notation
Images A and B represent one object X

Imaged with same or different modalities

There is a relation between spatial locations in A and B

Registrations involves recovering the spatial transformation T which maps x_a to x_B

$$\Omega_{A,B}^{\mathbf{T}} = \{ \mathbf{x}_A \in \Omega_A | \mathbf{T}^{-1}(\mathbf{x}_A) \in \Omega_B \}$$

Type of Transformation

Spatial mapping *T* describes relationship between images locations

Same object in a different position (2D-3D)

- Projection is characterized by system (u_0, v_0, k_u, k_v)
- u_o, v_o define the projection of points (u, v) in imaging plane
- This transformation T_{projection} is 4 x 3 matrix which projects the 3D object along the z axis

$$\mathbf{T}_{projection} = \begin{pmatrix} k_u & 0 & u_0 & 0 \\ 0 & k_v & v_0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\mathbf{T}_{2D-3D} = \mathbf{T}_{projection} \mathbf{T}_{rigid}$$

Type of Transformation

Same object in a different position (3D-3D)
 Rigid-body transformation (rotation and translation)
 Six degrees of freedom

 t = (t_x, t_y, t_z)

Rotations about three axes

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{T}_{rigid}(\mathbf{x}) &= \\ \begin{pmatrix} \cos\beta\cos\gamma & \cos\alpha\sin\gamma + \sin\alpha\sin\beta\cos\gamma & \sin\alpha\sin\gamma - \cos\alpha\sin\beta\cos\gamma & t_x \\ -\cos\beta\sin\gamma & \cos\alpha\cos\gamma - \sin\alpha\sin\beta\sin\gamma & \sin\alpha\cos\gamma + \cos\alpha\sin\beta\sin\gamma & t_y \\ \sin\beta & -\sin\alpha\cos\beta & \cos\alpha\cos\beta & t_z \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

Type of Transformation

Affine or Linear Transformation
 Rigid-body transformation (rotation and translation)
 Scaling and Shearing
 Twelve degrees of freedom

$$\mathbf{T}(x, y, z) = \begin{pmatrix} x' \\ y' \\ z' \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a_{00} & a_{01} & a_{02} & a_{03} \\ a_{10} & a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} \\ a_{20} & a_{21} & a_{22} & a_{23} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Type of Transformation



FIGURE 13.1

Example of different types of transformations of a square: (a) identity transformation, (b) rigid transformation, (c) affine transformation, and (d) nonrigid transformation.

Type of Transformation

Nonrigid Registration

- Registration Using Basis Functions (Fourier and Wavelets)
- Thin-Plate Splines
- B-Splines
- Elastic Registration
- Fluid, Optical Flow e etc

Type of Transformation

Nonrigid Registration



I will not register images in pixel space I will not register images in pixel space

Image Spacing and Origin

- Medical image volume are typically anisotropic
 - In-plane pixel size smaller than inter-slice spacing
- A transform is rigid only with respect to physical coordinates and not pixel coordinates
 - PhysCoord = PixelCoord * ImageSpacing + ImageOrigin
- The registration is always with respect to physical coordinates
- Spacing and origin information could be set correctly in the images!

Registration Algorithms

Points and the Procrustes Problem

- Surface Matching
 - The Head and Hat
 - Distance Transforms
 - Iterative Closest Point (ICP)
- Voxel Similarity Measure



"Handbook of Medical Imaging – Vol. 2. Medical Image Processing and Analysis", *Editors* Sonka, M.; Fitzpatrick, J.M. - Pag. 479

Voxel Similarity Measure

Intramodality Registration <u>Minimizing Intensity Difference</u>

$$SSD = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\mathbf{x}_A \in \Omega_{A,B}^{\mathrm{T}}} |A(\mathbf{x}_A) - B^{\mathcal{T}}(\mathbf{x}_A)|^2$$

SAD =
$$\frac{1}{N} \sum_{\mathbf{x}_A \in \Omega_{A,B}^{\mathbf{T}}} |A(\mathbf{x}_A) - B^{\mathcal{T}}(\mathbf{x}_A)|$$

Correlation Techniques

$$CC = \frac{\sum_{\mathbf{x}_{A} \in \Omega_{A,B}^{T}} (A(\mathbf{x}_{A}) - \overline{A}) \cdot (B^{T}(\mathbf{x}_{A}) - \overline{B})}{\left\{ \sum_{\mathbf{x}_{A} \in \Omega_{A,B}^{T}} (A(\mathbf{x}_{A}) - \overline{A})^{2} \cdot \sum_{\mathbf{x}_{A} \in \Omega_{A,B}^{T}} (B^{T}(\mathbf{x}_{A}) - \overline{B})^{2} \right\}^{1/2}}$$

$$\mathbf{C} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\mathbf{x}_A \in \Omega_{A,B}^{\mathbf{T}}} A(\mathbf{x}_A) \cdot B^{\mathcal{T}}(\mathbf{x}_A)$$

Voxel Similarity Measure

Intramodality Registration
 Normalized Correlation (ITK)
 Optimal Value -1
 Metric range: 1 to -1



$$S(\mathbf{p}|F, M, \mathbf{T}) = -1 \times \frac{\sum_{i}^{N} F(\mathbf{x}_{i}) M(\mathbf{x}_{i}')}{\sqrt{\sum_{i}^{N} F^{2}(\mathbf{x}_{i}) \sum_{i}^{N} M^{2}(\mathbf{x}_{i}')}}$$



Voxel Similarity Measure

Entropy

$$H = -\sum_{i} p_i \log p_i$$

Joint Entropy

$$H(A, B) \le H(A) + H(B)$$

$$H(A, B) = -\sum_{a} \sum_{b} p_{AB}^{T}(a, b) \log p_{AB}^{T}(a, b)$$





FIGURE 3.1

Example 2D histograms from Hill et al.⁴³ for (a) identical MR images of the head, (b) MR and CT images of the head, and (c) MR and PET images of the head. For all modality combinations, the left panel is generated from the images when aligned, the middle panel when translated by 2 mm, and the right panel when translated by 5 mm. Note that, while the histograms are quite different for the different modality combinations, misregistration results in a dispersion or blurring of the signal. Although these histograms are generated by lateral translational misregistration, misregistration in other translation or rotation directions has a similar effect.

Voxel Similarity Measure

Intermodality Registration

 Information theoretic Techniques
 Mutual Information Metric:

 Qualitatively measure how much information is gained about one image (intensity) by the knowledge of another image (intensity).
 Two different groups introduced the idea in the context of multi-modality registration. Viola and Well(1997) and Collignon et al. (1995).

Voxel Similarity Measure Intermodality Registration Information theoretic Techniques Mutual Information Metric: If dependency between two images does not have to be specified MI is a good choice. MI is defined by entropy (measure of information) Adjust the bins (32, 64 and etc) Parzen Windowing, Kernel density estimate and Histogram binning.

Parzen Windowing



Density function is constructed by superimposing kernel functions centered on the intensity samples obtained from the image

- Kernel type
 - Gaussian, boxcar, B-Spline
- Kernel Width (crucial)
 - Depend on dynamic range of data
 - Normalize Data
- Number of Samples

Voxel Similarity Measure

Intermodality Registration
 Mutual Information

$$H(A) = -\sum_{a} p_{A}^{T}(a) \log p_{A}^{T}(a)$$
$$H(B) = -\sum_{b} p_{B}^{T}(b) \log p_{B}^{T}(b)$$

$$I(A, B) = H(A) + H(B) - H(A, B) = \sum_{a} \sum_{b} p_{AB}^{T}(a, b) \log \frac{p_{AB}^{T}(a, b)}{p_{A}^{T}(a) \cdot p_{B}^{T}(b)}$$

Voxel Similarity Measure

Intermodality Registration
 Normalized Mutual Information

$$\tilde{I}_1(A,B) = \frac{2I(A,B)}{H(A) + H(B)} \quad \tilde{I}_2(A,B) = H(A,B) - I(A,B)$$

$$\tilde{I}_3(A,B) = \frac{H(A) + H(B)}{H(A,B)}$$

Mutual Information Metric







Translations



Joint Histograms: Multi-Modality





White = zero value Black = highest value Misalignment causes dispersion

Optimization

- Gradient Descent
- Powell's Direction Set Method
- Downhill Simplex method

Optimization

Gradient Descent



Optimization

Gradient Descent (Local Optimization)





Optimization

Gradient Descent (Global Optimization)





3D CT to MR-T1 Rigid Registration



Fixed Image: MR-T1, 256 x 256 x 52 pixels, 0.78 x 0.78 x 3.00 mm Moving Image: CT, 512 x 512 x 44, 0.41 x 0.41 x 3.00 mm Registration: 4 levels, MI, gradient descent, quaternion rigid

Images provided as part of the project: "Retrospective Image Registration Evaluation", NIH, Project No. 8R01EB002124-03, Principal Investigator, J. Michael Fitzpatrick, Vanderbilt University, Nashville, TN.

3D PET to MR-T2 Rigid Registration



Fixed Image: MR-T2, 256 x 256 x 26 pixels, 1.25 x 1.25 x 4.00 mm Moving Image: PET, 128 x 128 x 15, 1.94 x 1.94 x 8.00 mm Registration: 3 levels, MI, gradient descent, quaternion rigid

Images provided as part of the project: "Retrospective Image Registration Evaluation", NIH, Project No. 8R01EB002124-03, Principal Investigator, J. Michael Fitzpatrick, Vanderbilt University, Nashville, TN.

References:

Hajnal J.; Hawkes, D. and Hill, D.L.G. (editors) "Medical Image Registration", CRC Press, The BIOMEDICAL ENGINEERING Series (editor Michael Neuman), 2001, ISBN 0-8493-0064-9

Studholme, C.; Hill, D.L.G.; Hawkes, D.J. "Automated three-dimensional registration of magnetic resonance and positron emission tomography brain images by multiresolution optimization of voxel similarity measures", Med. Phys. 24(1), pp. 25-35, Jan. 1997

Thévenaz, P.; Unser, M. "Optimization of Mutual Information for Multiresolution Image Registration", IEEE Transactions on Image Processing, Vol. 9, No. 12, pp. 2083-2099 Dec. 2000

http://pt.slideshare.net/kitware/itk-tutorial-presentation-slides947

http://slideplayer.com/slide/5182766/

http://pt.slideshare.net/balanou/thesis-image-registration-methods

https://www.coursera.org/learn/machine-learning/lecture/kCvQc/gradient-descent-for-linear-regression

Image Registration

Prof. Dr. Lucas Ferrari de Oliveira UFPR Informatics Department